Abstract

This study highlights the limitations of self-reported data and sheds light on irregular sleep patterns and their effect on academic performance. Self-reported sleep habits were assessed using a pre-study questionnaire. Sleep patterns were monitored for up to 30 days with wrist-based accelerometers. Academic performance was assessed using students' self-reported grade point average (GPA). Twenty-one professional school students reported a mean sleep duration of 7h32m, with a mean time to bed of 23:14 and mean wake time of 07:32. Time-to-bed consistency, sleep-duration consistency and earlier time to bed during the weekdays were highly correlated with better GPA.

Key Words: activity tracker, sleep, accelerometer, patterns, students, learning, sleep logs

Introduction

Insufficient sleep has been labeled a public health crisis in the United States, with many adults receiving less than the 7-8 hours of sleep per night recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.[1] Other national initiatives such as Healthy People 2020 and Healthy People 2030 from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services include sleep health objectives that address this crisis. Busier schedules and lifestyle factors have led many to treat sleep as a commodity to be exchanged for greater productivity. Sacrificing sleep for study time is a prevalent practice in medical, optometric and other health science student populations.[2] Sleep has a relevant role in learning and academic achievement, and the literature investigating the relationship between student sleep and student learning covers many areas.[3]

Irregular patterns in sleep onset, wake time and sleep duration can result in poor quality of sleep,[4] which has been linked to cognitive impairment,[5-7] delayed reaction times and increased risk for health issues.[8-10] All of these can be detrimental for optometry students. The financial implications of insufficient sleep have also been well-documented in decreased productivity, treatment of sleeping disorders and premature or accidental death.[11-14] Optometry students may be aware of some of these risks, but with their focus on learning to navigate the rigors of the curriculum, few are cognizant of their sleep hygiene and how it may affect their learning and ultimately academic achievement. Studies focused on sleep duration and sleep/wake indices have closely linked student learning capacity and academic performance with sleep quality.[15]

Often referred to as the knowledge-behavior gap, the wealth of information on good sleep hygiene and physical/mental health is not reflected in personal sleep habits, with more than a third of all Americans reportedly not getting enough sleep.[16] Poor quality sleep among college students has been reported as high as 60% and has been associated with increased mental health issues and irregular sleep patterns[16-19] that lead to increased daytime fatigue, inattentiveness and poor academic performance.[20]

To date, much of the research regarding student sleep habits has been unable to address issues such as inherent optimistic bias, which can lead people to think more positively about their habits and result in poor decision-making.[21] Prior sleep studies have also required expensive equipment, relied heavily on sleep journals/self-reported sleep habits, or were limited in days monitored.[22,23] The aims of this study are to integrate self-reported sleep habits with objectively recorded wrist-based accelerometer (WBA) data and relate subjects' sleep profiles with academic performance. Using technology, we look to minimize personal bias, broaden the view of subject sleep behavior, and improve data analysis of variations in bedtimes, wake times and sleep duration to develop individualized sleep profiles.

Methods

Participants

This longitudinal study was conducted during the Spring/Summer semesters at the University of the Incarnate Word Rosenberg School of Optometry. Twenty-three full-time (greater than 16 credit hours) graduate students were recruited to participate in a pre-study questionnaire and wear a WBA for 30 days (21-day minimum). Two subjects did not complete the study for personal reasons. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, nursing or caring for a newborn.

Study approval

All subjects provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and was in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data collection

Two sets of data were collected: self-reported sleep behaviors and passively recorded sleep patterns. All subject data were de-identified, and unique identification numbers were used to track each participant. Data were stored on password-protected cloud systems.

Wrist-based accelerometers

Participants were given the option to use a personal WBA or the study-provided tracker (Mi Band 2). The three WBAs used for sleep data analysis were: Fitbit Charge 2 (San Francisco, CA), AutoSleep application (Sydney, AU) with Apple Watch Series 3 (Cupertino, CA), and Xiaomi Mi Band 2 (Taipei, TW). Although their sleep algorithms are proprietary, all three trackers utilize movement and heart rate to define time to bed, sleep duration and wake time for all subjects.[24-27] Subjects were asked to wear the WBA throughout the day and night for the duration of the study.

Statistical analysis

Data were collected and analyzed using Google Sheets (Mountain View, CA) and XLMiner Analysis ToolPak (Incline Village, NV). Pearson linear regression determined correlations between sleep patterns and grade point average (GPA). Paired t-test was performed to compare student perceptions of sleep habits and recorded sleep patterns.

Pre-study questionnaire

All subjects were given a sleep study questionnaire designed to collect information regarding demographics, sleep habits, caffeine consumption, body mass index and perceived amount of sleep their classmates receive **(Table 1)**.

Results

Twenty-one professional school students [4 men and 17 women, mean age 25 years (standard deviation 1.5 years)] passively tracked their sleep patterns for a maximum of 30 days (minimum: 24 days; average: 28 days) using Fitbit (n=6), Xiaomi Mi Band (n=10) or AutoSleep app (n=5) WBAs.

Mean sleep duration

Mean sleep duration based on students' self-reporting on the pre-study questionnaire was 7h32m (standard deviation 47m). Mean sleep duration based on WBA data was 7h39m (standard deviation 51m). A paired t-test was performed, and no statistical difference was found between the mean sleep durations (p=0.57). A majority of students underestimated their amount of sleep (n=13) as compared with WBA data. The WBAs allowed for broader analysis, differentiating between weekday (WKD = Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday) and weekend (WKE = Friday, Saturday, Sunday) sleep patterns. Mean sleep duration during the week [7h24m (standard deviation 58m)] was 38 minutes shorter than during the weekend [8h02m (standard deviation 1h11m)]. This may result from students sleeping-in during the weekend to make up for shorter sleep durations during the week. Pearson correlation coefficients for total mean sleep duration (r^2=0.06, p=0.27) and WKE mean sleep duration (r^2=0.00, p=0.97) showed minimal correlation with GPA. WKD mean sleep duration (r^2=0.15, p=0.08) correlated moderately with GPA and trended toward statistical significance **(Figure 1)**.

Time to bed

Based on the pre-study questionnaire, mean estimated time to bed was 23:14 (standard deviation 1h5m). According to the WBA data, mean time to bed was 00:06 (standard deviation 48m). A paired t-test found a statistically significant difference between self-reported time to bed and sleep tracker time to bed (p=0.003). Sleep tracker measurements were 23:54 (standard deviation 46m) for WKD time to bed and 00:13 (standard deviation 54m) for WKE time to bed. The mean

TABLE 1
Pre-Study Questionnaire

1.	What time do you generally go to bed?	
2.	What time do you generally wake up?	
3.	How long does it take you to fall asleep?	0-15 min, 16-30 min, 31-45 min, 46-60 min, >60 min
4.	To what extent has POOR sleep affected your concentration, productivity or ability to stay awake?	Scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being "very much"
5.	To what extent has POOR sleep troubled you in general?	Scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being "very much"
6.	How long have you had a problem with your sleep?	No problem (<1 mos.), 1-2 mos., 3-6 mos., 7-12 mos., >1 yr
7.	On average my classmates get _____ hours of sleep per night.	
8.	How much caffeine do you consume on an average school day?	Less than 1 cup per day, 1-2 cups per day, 3-4 cups per day, 4-5 cups per day, more than 5 cups per day
9.	My height is (e.g., 5'3")	
10.	My weight is (lbs.)	

Table 1. Click to enlarge

difference of 19m was not statistically significant (p=0.23). Pearson correlation coefficients for total time to bed (r^2=0.06, p=0.27) and WKE time to bed (r=0.04, p=0.41) demonstrated weak correlation with GPA. Earlier WKD time to bed was moderately correlated with better GPA (r^2=0.17, p=0.06) **(Figure 2)**.


Figure 1. Association between GPA and weekday (WKD), weekend (WKE) and overall average sleep durations. WKD sleep duration was most positively correlated with better academic performance, trending toward statistical significance (r2=0.15; 95% CI, 1.56 to 3.24; p=0.08). Click to enlarge


Figure 2. Association between GPA and weekday (WKD), weekend (WKE) and overall average time to bed. WKD time to bed was most correlated with academic performance, trending toward statistical significance (r2=0.17; 95% CI, 0.99 to 1.33; p=0.06). Click to enlarge


Figure 3. Weekday (WKD) time to bed consistency was the strongest predictor of GPA and statistically significant (r2=0.26; 95% CI, 0.98 to 1.33; p=0.02). Click to enlarge

Wake time

Self-reported pre-study questionnaire data showed students' estimated mean wake time to be 06:46 (standard deviation 52m). WBA data measured mean wake time to be 07:45 (standard deviation 37m). A paired t-test was performed and found a statistically significant difference between self-reported wake time and sleep tracker wake time (p< 0.001). Sleep tracker data was 07:17 (standard deviation 33m) for WKD wake time and 08:27 (standard deviation 58m) for WKE wake time. This mean difference of 1h09m was statistically significant (p<0.001). Pearson correlation coefficients for total mean wake time (r^2=0.01, p=0.71), WKD wake time (r^2=0.00, p=0.88) and WKE wake time (r^2=0.02, p=0.57) showed little to no correlation with GPA. Overall wake times were not predictive of GPA. We believe this is a byproduct of the academic schedule and early start times (as early as 07:30 for some students). This leaves little room for variations in wake patterns during the week as observed with a smaller standard deviation in WKD wake times (33m) as compared with WKE wake times (58m) **(Table 2)**.

Consistency of sleep patterns

Variations in sleep patterns are thought to directly impact natural circadian rhythm and negatively affect a student's ability to learn.[18] Analyzing sleep patterns of students for 30 days, we developed a sleep profile of time to bed, wake time and total sleep duration trends using standard deviations. Wake time standard deviation did not correlate with GPA (r=0.00, p=0.99). The importance of sleep consistency was most apparent comparing WKD time to bed standard deviation with GPA (r^2=0.26, p=0.02). WKE time to bed standard deviation was not correlated with improved academic performance (r=0.00, p=1.00). Total wake time, WKD wake time, and WKE wake time standard deviations demonstrated weak correlations (p-value) with GPA [r=0.00 (0.99), 0.038 (0.40), 0.00 (0.85), respectively]. Total and WKD sleep duration standard deviations were moderately correlated with GPA [r^2=0.18 (0.06), r^2=0.17 (0.07)]. WKD time to bed and duration variations were the greatest predictors of academic performance in our cohort **(Figure 3)**.

TABLE 2
Pearson r² Values When Comparing GPA with Sleep Indicators

	Duration		Time to Bed		Wake Time	
	RSQ	P	RSQ	P	RSQ	P
Weekday (WKD)	0.15	0.08	0.17	0.06	0.00	0.88
Weekend (WKE)	0.00	0.97	0.04	0.41	0.02	0.57
Total	0.06	0.28	0.06	0.27	0.01	0.71
	Duration STDev		Time-to-Bed STDev		Wake-Time STDev	
Weekday (WKD)	0.17	0.07	0.26*	0.02	0.04	0.40
Weekend (WKE)	0.06	0.29	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.85
Total	0.18	0.06	0.03	0.45	0.00	0.99

*reaches statistical significance; RSQ = root square; STDev = standard deviation

Table 2. Weekday (WKD) sleep duration and time to bed were correlated with better academic performance and trended toward statistical significance (p=0.08 and p=0.06, respectively). WKD time to bed standard deviation (SD) is significant at the 0.05 level. Total and WKD sleep duration SDs trended toward statistical significance (p=0.06 and 0.07, respectively). Click to enlarge

Discussion

Duration vs. time to bed vs. wake time

Total sleep duration did not influence academic performance as we predicted and previous literature has stated; however,

sleep onset correlated more closely to academic performance.[15, 18-20] It was only when we looked at the variations in sleep duration patterns, specifically during the weekdays, that a moderate correlation between duration and GPA emerged. Similar to the findings from the BaHammam et al. 2012 study, an earlier bedtime and a longer duration during the weekdays showed a clear association with academic performance.[28] The importance of time to bed on sleep duration is a direct result of the restriction on WKD wake times. Of the two variables, "what time to go to bed" and "what time to wake up," students increase their likelihood of receiving more sleep with earlier bedtimes. Wake times were less predictive of academic performance based on external factors (e.g., academic schedule), which were out of the students' control.

Sleep consistency

Our data support the impact sleep consistency has on a student's ability to perform academically. The less variable/more regular the sleep duration and time to bed values were, the better the GPA. Regular sleep and circadian rhythms have been linked with learning and memory-forming.[10] This study was able to utilize data collected over 30 days to identify individual sleep profiles not usually seen in self-reported sleep studies. WBAs allowed for more accurate and consistent passive recording of data rather than reliance on subjective self-reported time to bed and wake times.

Weekdays vs. weekends

A noticeable shift in sleep patterns was observed among our cohort when comparing WKD and WKE datasets. Students went to bed 19 minutes later, rose 1 hour and 9 minutes later and received, on average, 38 more minutes of total WKE sleep, which could be a response to chronic sleep insufficiency during the week. Prior studies of sleep-wake cycle shifts related to daylight savings time have found evidence of decreased productivity, increased traffic accidents and absenteeism.[29] Our data suggest regular sleep patterns during the weekdays are more related to academic performance compared with significantly different weekend sleep patterns.[20]

Self-reported bias

To avoid bias regarding sleep duration and the often cited 8-hour recommendation, our pre-study questionnaire instead asked subjects to provide their typical time to bed and wake times. We calculated the difference to determine their estimated average sleep duration, which turned out to be accurate within 8 minutes of the overall measured sleep duration. What we found most interesting was how estimated time in bed and wake times were skewed earlier (23:14 vs. 00:06 and 06:46 vs. 07:45, respectively) when compared to WBA data. We feel the ability to collect data regarding sleep patterns with no explicit action from the user minimized subject bias and should be considered in future sleep studies.

Limitations and future considerations

Potential limitations in our study included our sample population and size. Surveying students across three different grade levels can affect the weight of the GPA (e.g., an OPTIII GPA includes more than 60 credit hours, while an OPTI may only include 22). Due to the timing of this research, there was also a greater distribution of third-year year students (n=12) participating compared with the numbers of second-year and fourth-year students (n=3 and n=6, respectively). Also, as a pilot study, a small sample size was used, which may have resulted in lower statistical power. Follow-up studies will be limited to a single class to address these factors. Additionally, using three different activity trackers with potentially varying algorithms could have affected time to bed and wake time measurements. Future studies will utilize a uniform tracker for all subjects. The trackers were also unable to account for naps, which students often use to recharge after building sleep debt from the previous day. This software limitation may impact which tracker we elect to use moving forward.

Conclusion

In summary, our results suggest regular sleep patterns may promote learning and academic performance. As wearable health technology continues to improve and becomes less expensive, large-scale datasets will allow researchers to analyze activity/sleep patterns with greater detail. By broadening our understanding of delayed sleep onset and shortened sleep durations, we look to explore techniques that help students develop improved sleep habits.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Barnes CM, Drake CL. Prioritizing sleep health: Public health policy recommendations. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2015;10(6):733-737.
2. Cvejic E, Huang S, Vollmer-Conna U. Can you snooze your way to an "A"? Exploring the complex relationship between sleep, autonomic activity, wellbeing and performance in medical students. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2018;52(1):39-46.
3. Curcio G, Ferrara M, De Gennaro L. Sleep loss, learning capacity and academic performance. Sleep Med Rev. 2006;10:323-337.
4. El Hangouche AJ, Jniene A, Aboudrar S, et al. Relationship between poor quality sleep, excessive daytime sleepiness and low academic performance in medical students. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2018;9:631-638.
5. Medeiros AD, Mendes DF, Lima PF, Araujo JF. The relationships between sleep-wake cycle and academic performance in medical students. Biol Rhythm Res. 2001;32(2):263-270.
6. Krittanawong C, Tunhasiriwet A, Wang Z, et al. Association between short and long sleep durations and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2019;8(8):762-770.
7. Buxton OM, Marcelli E. Short and long sleep are positively associated with obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease among adults in the United States. Soc Sci Med. 2010;71:1027-1036.
8. Banks S, Dinges DF. Behavioral and physiological consequences of sleep restriction. J Clin Sleep Med. 2007;3:519-528.
9. Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, Gillin JC. Sleep and psychiatric disorders. A meta-analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1992;49:651-668.
10. Smarr BL, Jennings KJ, Driscoll JR, Kriegsfeld LJ. A time to remember: the role of circadian clocks in learning and memory. Behav Neurosci. 2014;128(3):283-303.
11. Smarr BL. Digital sleep logs reveal potential impacts of modern temporal structure on class performance in different chronotypes. J Biol Rhythms. 2015;30(1):61-67.
12. Hillman D, Mitchell S, Streatfeild J, Burns C, Bruck D, Pezzullo L. The economic cost of inadequate sleep. Sleep. 2018 Aug 1;41(8). doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsy083. PubMed PMID: 29868785.
13. Hafner M, Stepanek M, Taylor J, Troxel WM, Van Stolk C. Why sleep matters — the economic costs of insufficient sleep: a cross-country comparative analysis. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2016. Available from: https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR1791.html
14. Lunsford-Avery JR, Engelhard MM, Navar AM, Kollins SH. Validation of the Sleep Regularity Index in older adults and associations with cardiometabolic risk. Sci Rep. 2018 Sept 21;8(1):14158.
15. Scullin MK. The eight hour sleep challenge during final exams week. Teach Psychol. 2019;46(1):55-63.
16. Patel SR, Hayes AL, Blackwell T, et al. The association between sleep patterns and obesity in older adults. Int J Obes (Lond). 2014;38(9):1159-1164.
17. Taylor DJ, Bramoweth AD. Patterns and consequences of inadequate sleep in college students: Substance use and motor vehicle accidents. J Adolesc Health. 2010;46:610-612.
18. Lund HG, Reider BD, Whiting AB, Prichard JR. Sleep patterns and predictors of disturbed sleep in a large population of college students. J Adolesc Health. 2010;46(2):124-132.
19. Tsai LL, Li SP. Sleep patterns in college students: gender and grade differences. J Psychosom Res. 2004;56(2):231-237.
20. Eliasson AH, Lettieri CJ, Eliasson AH. Early to bed, early to rise! Sleep habits and academic performance in college students. Sleep Breath. 2010;14(1):71-75.
21. Sharot T, Korn CW, Dolan RJ. How unrealistic optimism is maintained in the face of reality. Nat Neurosci. 2011;14(11):1475-1479.

22. Czeisler CA. Duration, timing and quality of sleep are each vital for health, performance and safety. Sleep Health. 2015;1(1):5-8.

23. Meltzer LJ, Hiruma LS, Avis K, Montgomery-Downs H, Valentin J. Comparison of a commercial accelerometer with polysomnography and actigraphy in children and adolescents. Sleep. 2015;38(8):1323-1330.

24. Mi Band 2. Mi Global Home [Internet]. Xiaomi Corporation; c2010-2021 [cited Feb 19, 2021]. Available from: www.mi.com/global/miband2/

25. Fitbit Technology. [Internet]. Fitbit Inc.; c2021 [cited Feb 19, 2021]. Available from: www.fitbit.com/technology#sleep

26. AutoSleep [Internet]. Sydney, Australia: Tantsissa Holdings Pty Ltd; c2015-2020 [cited Feb 19 2021]. Available from: https://autosleepapp.tantsissa.com/

27. American Sleep Apnea Association and IBM launch patient-led sleep study app [Internet]. Washington, DC: American Sleep Apnea Association; c2021 [cited Feb 19 2021]. Available from: https://www.sleepapnea.org/american-sleep-apnea-association-ibm-launch-patient-led-sleep-study-app/

28. BaHammam AS, Alaseem AM, Alzakri AA, Almeneessier AS, Sharif MM. The relationship between sleep and wake habits and academic performance in medical students: A cross-sectional study. BMC Med Educ. 2012 Aug 1;12(61).

29. 29. Carey RN, Sarma KM. Impact of daylight saving time on road traffic collision risk: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2017 Jul 2;7(6):e014319.

✉ Follow

➕ Share

Dr. Valdes [mvaldes@uiwtx.edu] is an Assistant Professor at the University of the Incarnate Word Rosenberg School of Optometry. He is a Diplomate in the Low Vision Section of the American Academy of Optometry.

Dr. Rios is Director of Optometric and Clinical Library Services at the University of the Incarnate Word Rosenberg School of Optometry.

Ms. Rocha is a fourth-year student at the University of the Incarnate Word Rosenberg School of Optometry.

Ms. Rodriquez is a fourth-year student at the University of the Incarnate Word Rosenberg School of Optometry.